Evaluation Guidelines with Indicative Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed - SAR Tier II (UG Engineering)

2nd Cycle Accreditation

Criterion 1: Course Outcomes and Program Outcomes (75)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines	
1.1. State the Vision, Mission of the	05	A. Availability of the Vision and Mission statements of the Department (1)	
Department and Institute and Program Educational Objectives		B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (1)	
		C. Consistency of the Department statements with the Institution statements (1)	
		D. PEO statements and their appropriateness (2)	
		(Here Institution Vision and Mission statements have been asked to ensure consistency with the department Vision and Mission statements)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	sessed:		
A. Vision & Mission Statements B. Correctness from definition perspective C. Consistency between Institution and Department statements D. PEO statements and their appropriateness.			
1.2. Indicate where the Vision, Mission	05	A. Adequacy in respect of publication and dissemination (1)	
and PEOs are published and disseminated among		B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (1)	
stakeholders		C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholders (3)	
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed:			
A. Adequacy: Department Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website under relevant program link; Availability at department notice boards, HoD Chamber, department website; Availability in department level documents/course of study			
B. Process of dissemination: Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementation.			
C. Extent of Awareness: Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders			
1.3. Establish consistency of PEOs	05	A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (2)	
with Mission of the Department		B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (3)	

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed:	
A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and		elements
B. Justification for each of the elements m		
1.4. Establish the correlation between the courses and the POs & PSOs	15	
1.4.1. Course Outcomes	05	Evidence of COs being defined for every course (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed:	
 Appropriateness of the statements shall 	be seen f	or at least one course each from 2 nd , 3 rd and final year of study
1.4.2. CO-PO matrices of courses selected in 1.4.1 (six matrices)	05	Explanation of tables to be ascertained
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed:	
 Mapping to be verified for at least two m 	atrices	
1.4.3. Program level Course-PO matrix of all courses INCLUDING first year courses	05	Explanation of table to be ascertained
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed:	
 Mapping to be verified for at least one control the core courses are also to be verified. 	ourse per	year of study; program outcomes and program specific outcomes getting mapped with
1.5. Attainment of Course Outcomes	20	
1.5.1. Describe the assessment tools and processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcome is based	05	 A. List of assessment tools and processes (1) B. The quality /relevance of assessment processes and tools used (4)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed:	•
A.& B. Evidence for appropriate assessme	nt proces	ses including data collection, verification, analysis, decision making
1.5.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	15	Verify the attainment levels as per the benchmark set for all courses (15)

 Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses Methodology to define set levels and its 		ance; data collection, verification, analysis and decision making; details for one course
per year of study to be verified.	•	, , , , ,
1.6. Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	20	
1.6.1. Describe assessment tools and processes used for measuring the attainment of each PO and PSO	05	A. List of assessment tools and processes (2)B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools and processes used (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses	sed:	
A.&B. Direct and indirect assessment tools & p collection-analysis; decision making bas		s; effective compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats- irect and indirect assessment
1.6.2. Provide results of evaluation of each	15	A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO/PSO (10)
PO & PSO		B. Overall levels of attainment (5)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses	sed:	
A. & B. Appropriate attainment level and docum least two POs & two PSOs attainment le		evidences; details for POs & PSOs attainment from core courses to be verified. Also, at all be verified
1.7. Evidence of Solving Complex Engineering Problems	05	Verification of documents related to mini projects/major projects/ term projects/ independent study/ problem-based learning approach adopted or any other activities conducted specifically which reflect the solving of complex engineering problems
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asses	sed:	
	onducte	projects/major projects/ term projects/ independent study/ problem-based learning ed specifically which reflect the solving of complex engineering problems. Evidence of ards attainment of POs / PSOs
Total	75	

Criterion 2: Program Curriculum and Teaching-Learning Processes (75)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
	15	
2.1. Program Curriculum	15	
2.1.1. State the process used to identify extent of compliance of the University curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes as mentioned. Mention the identified curricular gaps, if any	05	 A. Process used to identify extent of compliance of University curriculum for attaining POs & PSOs (3) B. List the curricular gaps for the attainment of defined POs & PSOs (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed	
		which ensures mapping/compliance of University Curriculum with the POs & PSOs; icipation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process
B. Identified Curricular gaps and its Appr	opriatenes	ss
2.1.2. State the delivery details of the content beyond the syllabus for the		A. Steps taken to get identified gaps included in the curriculum (letter to university/ BOS) (2)
attainment of POs and PSOs		B. Delivery details of content beyond syllabus (5)
		C. Mapping of content beyond syllabus with the POs & PSOs (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	ssessed:	
A. Documentary evidence of steps taken	at regular	interval.
B. Delivered details- documentary evider	nce for at	least one sample per assessment year to be verified.
C. Availability and appropriateness of ma outcomes)	pping tabl	e between contents delivered and Program outcomes/program specific outcomes(Course
2.2. Teaching-Learning Processes	60	
2.2.1.Describe the Process followed to	15	A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (2)
improve quality of teaching and Learning		B. Pedagogical initiatives (2)

	C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students (2)			
	D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (2)			
	E. Conduct of experiments (Observation in Lab) (2)			
	F. Continuous Assessment in the laboratory (3)			
	G. Student feedback of teaching learning process and action taken (2)			
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed:			
A. Availability of Academic Calendar based or	n University academic calendar and its effective compliance			
B. Documentary evidence to support imple supported learning, interactive class room	mentation of pedagogical initiatives such as real-life examples, collaborative learning, ICT is, etc.			
C. Guidelines to identify weak and bright stud	Guidelines to identify weak and bright students; post identification actions taken; impact observed			
D. Class room ambience; efforts to keep stud	lents engaged (also to be verified during interaction with the students)			
E. Quality of laboratory experience with resp with the students)	pect to conducting, recording observations, analysis etc. (also to be verified duringinteraction			
F. Internal Semester examination and interr assessment of all the experiments and other	nal marks thereof, Practical record books, each experiment assessment, final marks based on ner assessments; if any			
G. Feedback format, frequency, analysis and	actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)			
2.2.2.Quality of end semester examination, internal semester	15 A. Process for internal semester question paper setting and evaluation and effective process implementation (3)			
question papers, assignments and evaluation	B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (2)			
evaluation	C. Evidence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (5)			
	D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (5)			
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Asse	ssed:			
A. Process of internal semester question pap	er setting, model answers, evaluation and its compliance			
B. Question paper validation to ensure desire	ed standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective			
C. Mapping of questions with the Course out	comes			
D. Assignments to promote self-learning, su	rvey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the student			

D. Assignments to promote self-learning, survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs

	1	
2.2.3. Quality of student projects	15	A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to Faculty Members (2)
		 B. Types and relevance of the projects and their contribution towards attainment of POs and PSOs (3)
		C. Process for monitoring and evaluation (2)
		D. Process to assess individual and team performance (2)
		E. Quality of completed projects/working prototypes (4)
		F. Evidences of papers published /Awards received by projects, etc. (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
A. Projects identification and guide alloca	tion proce	SS
 B. Projects classification (application, prod standards and mapping with program 		ch, review, etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, and program specific outcomes
C. Continuous monitoring mechanism an	d evaluati	on
D. Methodology (Appropriately documen contribution/understanding	ted) to as	sess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective
E. Based on Projects demonstration. Evid	lence for o	complex engineering problem solving.
F. Quality of place (host) where the pape	r has bee	n published /quality of competition in which award has been won
2.2.4. Initiatives related to industry	10	A. Industry supported laboratories (2)
interaction /industry/ internship/ summer training		B. Industry involvement in the Curriculum design and in partial delivery of any regular courses for students (2)
		C. Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training assessment (2)
		D. Impact analysis of industry institute interaction & industrial training and actions taken there of (2)
		E. Student feedback on initiative (2)

C. Type of Industries, planned or non-planned activity, objectives clearly defined, no. of students participated, relevant area of training, visit report documented.

D. & E. Impact analysis and feedback form	at, analy	sis and actions taken (also to be verified during interaction with students)		
2.2.5. Initiatives towards the NEP 2020 05 Initiatives towards the New Education Policy				
 Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed: Documentary evidence to support implementation of initiatives 				
Total:	75			

Criterion 3: Students' Performance (75)

Sub Criteria Marks Evaluation Guidelines	
 A. ≥ 90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during previous three academic years starting from current academic year (15) B. ≥ 80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during there academic years starting from current academic year (12) C. ≥ 70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during previous three academic years starting from current academic year (10) D. ≥ 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during previous three academic years starting from current academic year (10) D. ≥ 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during previous three academic years starting from current academic year (08) E. ≥ 50% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during previous three academic years starting from current academic year (08) E. ≥ 50% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during previous three academic years starting from current academic year (06) F. Otherwise '0'. 	vious the the
Success Rate in the stipulated 15 period of the program	
Success rate without backlogs in any semester/year of study10SI= (Number of students who have graduated from the program without backlo (Number of students admitted in the 1st year of that batch and actually admitted i year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)Without backlogs means:No repeat(s) in any course in anyAverage SI = Mean of Success Index (SI) for past three batches	
semester/year of study Success rate without backlogs in any semester/year of study = 10* Average SI	
bits / Context to be Observed / Assessed Data to be verified for each of the assessment years	
Success rate in stipulated period of study (actual duration of the program) [Total of withbacklogs]05SI= (Number of students who graduated from the program in the stipulated period course duration)/ (Number of students admitted in the 1 st year of that batch actually admitted in 2 nd year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable) Average SI = mean of Success Index (SI) for past three batches	
study (actual duration of the program) [Total of withbacklogs + without backlogs]could actual Ave	urse duration)/ (Number of students admitted in the 1^{st} year of that batch ually admitted in 2^{nd} year via lateral entry and separate division, if applicable)

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Data to be verified for each of the a 		
 Note: if 100% students clear witho simultaneously 	out any ba	acklogs then also total marks scored will be 15 as both 3.2.1 & 3.2.2 will be applicable
3.3. Academic Performance in Second Year	05	Academic Performance Level = 0.5 * Average API (Academic Performance Index)
Tear		API = ((Mean of 2 nd Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in 2 nd year/10)) * (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)
		Successfulstudents are those who are permitted to proceed to the 3 rd year
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	ssessed:	
 Data to be verified for at least one of 	of the ass	,
3.4. Academic Performance in Third Year	05	Academic Performance Level = $0.5 *$ Average API (Academic Performance Index)
		API = ((Mean of 3 rd Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in 3 rd year/10)) * (successful students/number of students appeared in the examination)
		Successfulstudents are those who are permitted to proceed to the final year
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Data to be verified for at least one of 	of the asse	essment years
3.5. Placement, Higher studies and Entrepreneurship	15	 Assessment Points = 15 * average of three years of [(X + Y + Z)/N] where, X =Number of students placed in companies or Government sector through on/off campus recruitment. Y =Number of students admitted to higher studies with valid qualifying scores (GATE or equivalent State or National level tests, GRE, GMAT etc.)
		Z =No. of students turned entrepreneur in Engineering/technology
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	N =Total number offinal year students
 Data to be verified for at-least one 		essment years
3.6. Professional Activities	20	
3.6.1. Professional societies / chapters and organizing engineering events	05	 A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (3) B. Number, quality of engineering events (organized at institute) (2)(Level: Institution /State / National / International)

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Self-Explanatory 		
3.6.2. Publication of technical magazines, newsletters, etc.	05	A. Quality & Relevance of the contents and Print Material (3)B. Participation of Students from the program (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
A. Documentary evidenceB. Documentary evidence - Students partic	ipation (a	lso to be confirmed during interaction with the students)
3.6.3. Participation in inter-institute events	05	A. Events within the state (1)
by students of the program of study		B. Events outside the state (1)
(at other institutions)		C. Prizes/awards received in such events (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 A.B.& C. Quality of events and docu 	mentary e	evidence
3.6.4.Participation in national/	05	A. Participation in national competitive events (2)
international competitive events by students of the program of study		B. Participation in international competitive events (3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As A.B.& C. Quality of events and documentar		ce
Total:	75	

Criterion 4: Faculty Information and Contributions (125)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
4.1. Student-Faculty Ratio (SFR)	20	Marks to be given proportionally from a maximum of 15 to a minimum of 10 for average SFR between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1. Marks distribution is given as below:
		< = 15 - 20 Marks
		< = 17 - 18 Marks
		< = 19 - 16 Marks
		< = 21 - 14 Marks
		< = 23 - 12 Marks
		< = 25 - 10 Marks
		> 25.0 - 0 Marks

Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed:

- 1. SFR is to be verified considering the faculty of the entire department.
- 2. No. of faculty calculation considering **faculty definition***; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements.
- 3. No. of student's calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 4.1)
- 4. Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted
- * **Note:** All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:
 - 1. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
 - 2. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
 - 3. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit.

4.2. Faculty Cadre Proportion	15	Faculty cadre proportion marks =
		$\begin{bmatrix} AF1 + AF2 * 0.6 + AF3 * 0.4 \\ RF1 & RF2 & RF3 \end{bmatrix} * 7.5$ $\Rightarrow If AF1 = AF2 = 0 then zero mark$
		 Maximum marks to be limited if it exceeds 15(Refer calculation in SAR)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed	/ Assess	ed:
✤ (Faculty Qualification and expension)	erience rea	quired for cadre posts shall only be considered as per AICTE norms/guidelines)
 Cadre wise No. of faculty available 	able; Facu	Ity qualification and experience and eligibility; Appointment/Promotion orders
 Cadre wise no. of faculty requi 	red as pe	r AICTE guidelines (refer calculation in SAR)
4.3. Faculty Qualification	15	FQ = 1.5 * (10X + 4Y)/F, where
		X is no. of faculty with Ph.D.,
		Y is no. of faculty with M.Tech.,
		F is no. of faculty required to comply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio (no. of faculty and no. of students required to be calculated as per 4.1)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed	/ Assess	ed:
 Documentary evidence – Faculty 	/ Qualifica	tion
4.4 Faculty Retention	10	 A. ≥ 90% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (10) B. ≥ 75% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (8) C. ≥ 60% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keepingCAYm2 as base year (6) D. ≥ 50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keepingCAYm2 as base year (4) E. < 50% of required Faculty members retained during the period of assessment keepingCAYm2 as base year (0)

Exhibits / Context to be Observed	/ Assess	sed:
-	-	nths (July-Nov –April salary statement for each of the assessment years
4.5.Faculty competencies in correlation to Curriculum	05	 A. Specialization B. Research Publications C. Course Developments D. Other relevant points
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
4.6. Innovations by the Faculty in Teaching and Learning	05	 A. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective presentation (2) B. Availability of work on the Institute Website (1) C. Availability of work for peer review and critique (1) D. Reproducibility and Reusability by other scholars for further development (1)
B. & C. Self-explanatory		among faculty and students of the department ent of student learning, typically include use of ICT, instruction delivery, instructional methods, For each year: Assessment = 2 * (Sum/0.5 * RF)
4.7. Faculty as participants in Faculty development/ training activities /STTPs	15	Average assessment over last three years starting from CAYm1 (Marks limited to 15)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed	/ Assess	sed
 Relevance of the training/developm 	nent prog	ram
No. of days; No. of faculty		
4.8. Research and Development	30	
4.8.1. Academic Research	10	A. Number of quality publications in refereed/SCI Journals, citations, Books/Book Chapters,

A. Quality of publications; publicationB. Documentary evidence		
4.8.2 Sponsored Research	10	Funded research from outside; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2and CAYm3Amount > 50 Lakhs - 10 Marks,Amount > 40 and \leq 50 Lakhs - 07 Marks,Amount > 30 and \leq 40 Lakhs - 05 Marks,Amount \geq 15 and \leq 30 Lakhs - 03 Marks,Amount < 15 Lakhs- 00 Marks
Exhibits / Context to be Observed	/ Asses	sed:
Documentary evidence; Funding	g agency,	Amount, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
4.8.3 Development Activities	05	A. Product Development
·		B. Research laboratoriesC. Working models/charts/monograms, etc.
 Exhibits / Context to be Observed Self –explanatory 	/ Asses	C. Working models/charts/monograms, etc.
 Self –explanatory 	05	C. Working models/charts/monograms, etc. sed: Consultancy; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3 Amount > 10 Lakhs - 05 Marks, Amount ≤ 10 and ≥ 8 Lakhs - 04 Marks, Amount ≤ 8 and ≥ 6 Lakhs - 03 Marks, Amount ≤ 6 and ≥ 4 Lakhs - 02 Marks, Amount ≤ 4 and ≥ 2 Lakhs - 01 Marks,
 Self –explanatory 4.8.4. Consultancy (From Industry) 	05	C. Working models/charts/monograms, etc. sed: Consultancy; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3 Amount > 10 Lakhs - 05 Marks, Amount ≤ 10 and ≥ 8 Lakhs - 04 Marks, Amount ≤ 8 and ≥ 6 Lakhs - 03 Marks, Amount < 6 and ≥ 4 Lakhs - 02 Marks, Amount < 4 and ≥ 2 Lakhs - 01 Marks, Amount < 2 Lakhs - 00 Marks
 Self –explanatory 4.8.4. Consultancy (From Industry) Exhibits / Context to be Observed 	05	C. Working models/charts/monograms, etc. sed: Consultancy; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3 Amount > 10 Lakhs - 05 Marks, Amount ≤ 10 and ≥ 8 Lakhs - 04 Marks, Amount ≤ 8 and ≥ 6 Lakhs - 03 Marks, Amount < 6 and ≥ 4 Lakhs - 02 Marks, Amount < 4 and ≥ 2 Lakhs - 01 Marks, Amount < 2 Lakhs - 00 Marks
4.8.4. Consultancy (From Industry)	05 7 / Asses g agency, 05	C. Working models/charts/monograms, etc. sed: Consultancy; Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3 Amount > 10 Lakhs - 05 Marks, Amount ≤ 10 and ≥ 8 Lakhs - 04 Marks, Amount ≤ 8 and ≥ 6 Lakhs - 03 Marks, Amount < 6 and ≥ 4 Lakhs - 02 Marks, Amount < 4 and ≥ 2 Lakhs - 01 Marks, Amount < 2 Lakhs - 00 Marks sed:

4.10.Visiting/Adjunct/Emeritus Faculty, etc.	5	 A. Provision of Visiting /Adjunct/Emeritus faculty etc. (1) B. Minimum 50 hours per year interaction (2 marks each for last two years: 2 * 2 = 4) (4) 	
<i>Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Assessed:</i> A. & B. Documentary evidence			
Total:	125		

Criterion 5: Resources (75)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
5.1. Adequate and well-equipped laboratories, and technical manpower	25	 A. Adequate well-equipped laboratories to run all the program-specific curriculum (15) B. Availability of adequate and qualified technical supporting staff (10)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed:	
A. Adequacy; well-equipped laboratories; u	tilization	
B. Self – explanatory		
5.2. Laboratories: Maintenance and overall ambience	05	Maintenance and overall ambience
 Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass Self-explanatory 	essed:	
5.3. Safety measures in laboratories	05	Safety measures in laboratories
 Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass Self -explanatory 	essed:	
5.4. Project laboratory	15	Facilities & Utilization
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / Ass	essed:	
 Self –explanatory 		
5.5. Feedback analysis and reward /	05	A. Feedback collected for all courses: YES/NO (1)
corrective measures taken, if any for resources		B. Feedback collection process (1)
		C. Average Percentage of students who participate (1)
		D. Feedback analysis process (1)
		E. Number of corrective actions taken (1)

A, B, C, D & E: Self –explanatory		
5.6. Program Specific Budget Allocation, Utilization	10	
5.6.1. Adequacy of budget allocation	05	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (3)
		B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
A. Budget formulation, finalization and app	proval pro	ocess.
B. Requirement – allocation –adequacy – j	ustificatio	on thereof
5.6.2. Utilization of allocated funds	05	Budget utilization for three years
 <i>Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As</i> Balance sheet; effective utilization; random 		fication for at least two of the three assessment years
5.7. Library and Internet	10	
5.7.1. Quality of learning resources (hard/soft)	06	A. Availability of relevant learning resources including e-resources and Digital Library, (4)
		B. Accessibility to students (1)
		C. Support for self-learning (1)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Availability; Adequacy; Effectiveness 	(Also to I	be verified during interactions with the faculty and students)
5.7.2. Internet	04	A. Available bandwidth and Wi Fi availability (2)
		B. Internet access in labs, classrooms, library and offices of all Departments and Security mechanism (2)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Availability as per AICTE norms; Adec 	quacy; Ef	fectiveness (Also to be verified during interactions with the faculty and students)

Criterion 6: Continuous Improvement (75)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
6.1. Actions taken based on the results	30	A. Documentary evidences of POs and PSOs attainment levels (15)
of evaluation of each of the POs and PSOs		B. Identification of gaps/shortfalls (5)
		C. Plan of action to bridge the gap and its Implementation (10)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Documentary evidence in respect of e 		e POs
6.2. Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	10	Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Academic Audit assessment criteria, 1 	requency	, conduct mechanism, action plan based on audit, implementation and effectiveness
6.3. Improvement in Placement,	15	Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement index 3.5)
Higher Studies, Entrepreneurship		 A. Improvement in Placement numbers, quality, core hiring industry and pay packages (5)
		B. Improvement in Higher Studies in premier institutions (5)
		C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (5)
		(Marks to be given proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAY m 3)
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
A. B. & C. Nos. in each year of the assess	sment; im	provement considering CAYm3 as a base year
6.4. Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program	10	Assessment is based on improvement in terms of ranks/score in qualifying state level/ national level entrances tests, percentage Physics, Chemistry and Mathematicsmarks in 12 th Standard and percentage marks of the lateral entry students
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Documentary evidence – list of stud CAYm3 as a base year 	lents adm	nitted; admission authority guidelines; ranks/scores; comparative status considering
6.5. Remedial action taken on the observations made during last	10	New initiatives taken/New Facilities Introduced/Improvement made after last visit

accreditation visit /New initiatives taken/New Facilities Introduced /Improvement made after last visit.		
Exhibits / Context to be Observed / As	sessed:	
 Documentary evidence 		
Total:	75	